# Defining subrings in *p*-adic function fields

Nicolas Daans

University of Antwerp

July 10, 2020

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへで

s of Pfister forms	Parametrised and unparametrised solution	Outlook
00	000000	00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Introduction & recap

 $S_d$ -sets

Recap

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the language of rings. Let (K, v) be a local field with  $\operatorname{char}(K) \neq 2$ , F an algebraic function field over K. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the space of valuations of F,  $\mathcal{V}_0$  the subspace of valuations trivial on K. Fix a uniformiser  $\pi$  of v and let  $\mathcal{V}_{\pi}$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{V}$  of *discrete* valuations w for which  $w(\pi) > 0$ .

For a quadratic form q defined over F, define the following sets:

$$\Delta_0 q = \{ w \in \mathcal{V}_0 \mid q \text{ anisotropic over } F_w \}$$
  
$$\Delta_\pi q = \{ w \in \mathcal{V}_\pi \mid q \text{ anisotropic over } F_w \}$$

Introduction & recap  $\circ \bullet \circ$ 

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Recap

Recall the following from previous talk:

Proposition 1.1 (Kato, 1986)

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F. If  $\Delta_{\pi}q = \emptyset$ , then q is isotropic over F.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

Recap

Recall the following from previous talk:

#### Proposition 1.1 (Kato, 1986)

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F. If  $\Delta_{\pi}q = \emptyset$ , then q is isotropic over F.

#### Proposition 1.2

Let  $x \in \bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , where q is a quadratic form defined over F. Then there exists an  $a \in K^{\times}$  such that  $ax \in \bigcap_{w \in \Delta_{\pi} q} \mathfrak{m}_w$ .

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Recap

Recall the following from previous talk:

#### Proposition 1.1 (Kato, 1986)

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F. If  $\Delta_{\pi}q = \emptyset$ , then q is isotropic over F.

#### Proposition 1.2

Let  $x \in \bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , where q is a quadratic form defined over F. Then there exists an  $a \in K^{\times}$  such that  $ax \in \bigcap_{w \in \Delta_\pi q} \mathfrak{m}_w$ .

#### Proposition 1.3

K has an existential L-definition in F.

Introduction & recap  $\circ \circ \bullet$ 

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

# Statement & outline

Today, we give a proof of the following:

#### Theorem 1.4

There exists an existential  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi$  in 4 free variables such that for all  $a, b, c \in F^{\times}$  one has

$$\bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 \langle \langle a, b, c \rangle \rangle} \mathcal{O}_v = \{ x \in F^{\times} \mid F \models \varphi(x, a, b, c) \}.$$

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

# Statement & outline

Today, we give a proof of the following:

#### Theorem 1.4

There exists an existential  $\mathcal{L}$ -formula  $\varphi$  in 4 free variables such that for all  $a, b, c \in F^{\times}$  one has

$$igcap_{\in \Delta_0 \langle \langle a,b,c 
angle 
angle} \mathcal{O}_{m{v}} = \{ x \in F^ imes \mid F \models arphi(x,a,b,c) \}.$$

Plan for today:

• Prove 1.4.

V

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

# Recall: S-sets of quaternion algebras

Recall that for a field K (char(K)  $\neq$  2) and a quaternion algebra Q we defined

 $S(Q) = {\mathsf{Trd}}(\alpha) \mid \alpha \in Q \setminus K, \mathsf{Nrd}(\alpha) = 1$ 

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

# Recall: S-sets of quaternion algebras

Recall that for a field K (char(K)  $\neq$  2) and a quaternion algebra Q we defined

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Q}) = \{\mathsf{Trd}(lpha) \mid lpha \in \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{K}, \mathsf{Nrd}(lpha) = 1\}$$

#### Proposition 2.1

One has for  $a, b \in K^{\times}$  with  $Q = (a, b)_{K}$ 

$$\begin{split} S(Q) &= \{ x \in K \mid Q_{K(\sqrt{x^2 - 4})} \text{ is split} \} \\ &= \{ x \in K \mid \langle \langle a, b \rangle \rangle_{K(\sqrt{x^2 - 4})} \text{ is isotropic} \} \\ &= \{ x \in K \mid \langle x^2 - 4, -a, -b, ab \rangle_K \text{ is isotropic} \end{split}$$

Proof: Exercise.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms  $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

## Pfister forms and quadratic extensions

Recall: an *n*-fold Pfister from over a field K (char(K)  $\neq$  2) is a quadratic form isometric to

$$\langle 1, -a_1 \rangle_K \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle_K$$

for certain  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K^{\times}$ . It is then denoted  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle_{K}$ .



 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms  $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

## Pfister forms and quadratic extensions

Recall: an *n*-fold Pfister from over a field K (char(K)  $\neq$  2) is a quadratic form isometric to

$$\langle 1, -a_1 \rangle_K \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle_K$$

for certain  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K^{\times}$ . It is then denoted  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle_{K}$ .

If  $\pi$  is a Pfister from, we can write it as  $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \pi'$  for some quadratic form  $\pi'$ , called the *pure part* of  $\pi$ .

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms  $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

## Pfister forms and quadratic extensions

Recall: an *n*-fold Pfister from over a field K (char(K)  $\neq$  2) is a quadratic form isometric to

$$\langle 1, -a_1 \rangle_K \otimes \cdots \otimes \langle 1, -a_n \rangle_K$$

for certain  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in K^{\times}$ . It is then denoted  $\langle \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n \rangle \rangle_{K}$ .

If  $\pi$  is a Pfister from, we can write it as  $\langle 1 \rangle \perp \pi'$  for some quadratic form  $\pi'$ , called the *pure part* of  $\pi$ . Becher mentioned:

#### **Proposition 2.2**

Let  $\pi$  be a Pfister form over K,  $d \in K^{\times}$ . Then  $\pi_{K[\sqrt{d}]}$  is isotropic if and only if  $\langle d \rangle \perp \pi'$  is isotropic over K.

We call  $\langle d \rangle \perp \pi'$  the *twist of*  $\pi$  *by* d.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00



For a Pfister form q defined over a field K with  $char(K) \neq 2$  and some  $d \in K^{\times}$ , we consider the set

$$egin{aligned} S_d(q) &= \{x \in \mathcal{K} \mid q ext{ is isotropic over } \mathcal{K}[\sqrt{x^2 + 4d}] \} \ &= \{x \in \mathcal{K} \mid \langle x^2 + 4d 
angle_{\mathcal{K}} \perp q' ext{ is isotropic} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This set has an existential definition in the language of rings, uniformly in d and the parameters defining q.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms  $\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ 

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

# Basic properties

#### Proposition 2.3

Let K be a field with  $char(K) \neq 2$ ,  $d \in K^{\times}$ , q a Pfister form defined over K.

- If q is isotropic over K, then  $S_d(q) = K$ .
- ② If q is anisotropic over K, then  $x \in S_d(q)$  implies that  $x^2 + 4d$  is a non-square in K.
- **3** If L/K is a field extension,  $S_d(q) \subseteq S_d(q_L)$ .

Proof: Clear.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

# Basic properties

#### Proposition 2.4

Let K be a field of char(K)  $\neq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  a collection of field extensions of K, q a Pfister form over K. Suppose that for all  $d \in K^{\times}$  such that  $\langle d \rangle_{K} \perp q'$  is anisotropic, there exists an  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle d \rangle_{E} \perp q'_{E}$  is anisotropic. Then

$$S_d(q) = igcap_{E\in\mathcal{E}}(S_d(q_E)\cap K).$$

Proof: Exercise.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

# Basic properties

#### Proposition 2.4

Let K be a field of char(K)  $\neq 2$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  a collection of field extensions of K, q a Pfister form over K. Suppose that for all  $d \in K^{\times}$  such that  $\langle d \rangle_{K} \perp q'$  is anisotropic, there exists an  $E \in \mathcal{E}$  such that  $\langle d \rangle_{E} \perp q'_{E}$  is anisotropic. Then

$$S_d(q) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} (S_d(q_E) \cap K).$$

Proof: Exercise.

Equivalently, if the Pfister form q satisfies a local-global principle with respect to a collection of valuations over K and all quadratic extensions of K, then the equality of S-sets above holds.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

# Over henselian valued fields

#### Proposition 2.5

Let  $(\mathcal{K}, v)$  be a henselian discretely valued field with  $char(\mathcal{K}) \neq 2$ and q a Pfister form defined over  $\mathcal{K}$ . Furthermore, let  $x \in \mathcal{K}, d \in \mathcal{K}^{\times}$ .

• If q is anisotropic over  $\mathcal{K}$ , then  $x \in S_d(q)$  implies  $v(x^2) \ge v(d)$ .

**②** If *q* is isotropic over  $K[\sqrt{d}]$ , then  $v(x^2) > v(16d)$  implies *x* ∈ *S*<sub>d</sub>(*q*)

Proof:

S<sub>d</sub>-sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution

## Parametrised solution

#### **Proposition 3.1**

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F. Let  $d \in F^{\times}$  be such that q is isotropic over  $F[\sqrt{d}]$ . Then

$$K \cdot S_d(q) = \{x \in F \mid orall w \in \Delta_0 q : w(x^2) \geq w(d)\}.$$

In particular, if v(d) = 0 for all  $v \in \Delta_0 q$ , then

$$K\cdot S_d(q/F)=igcap_{w\in\Delta_0 q}\mathcal{O}_w$$

Recall that  $S_d(q)$  has an existential definition, uniform in c and the parameters defining q. We saw in the last talk that K is existentially definable in F, hence also  $K \cdot S_d(q)$  is existentially definable in F, uniformly in d and the parameters defining q. ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □ ○ ○ ○

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 00000

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Parametrised solution

#### Lemma 3.2

Let K be a field with  $char(F) \neq 2$ , q a quadratic form over K of dimension at least 3, S a finite set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valuations on K. There exists a  $d \in K^{\times}$  such that  $q_{K[\sqrt{d}]}$  is isotropic and v(d) = 0 for all  $v \in S$ .

**Proof:** Exercise.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution

Outlook

## Parametrised solution

#### Lemma 3.2

Let K be a field with char(F)  $\neq 2$ , q a quadratic form over K of dimension at least 3, S a finite set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valuations on K. There exists a  $d \in K^{\times}$  such that  $q_{K[\sqrt{d}]}$  is isotropic and v(d) = 0 for all  $v \in S$ .

Proof: Exercise.

Let again F be an algebraic function field over a local field (K, v).

#### Corollary 3.3

For any three-fold Pfister form q defined over F, the set  $\bigcap_{w \in \Delta_{0q}} \mathcal{O}_w$  is existentially definable in F.

It follows (exercise) that also each  $\mathcal{O}_w$  for  $w \in \mathcal{V}_0$  is existentially definable.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

# Parametrised solution

**Proof of Proposition 3.1:** 



 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Eliminating the parameter d

We have shown that there is an existential *L*-formula φ in 5 free variables such that for all a, b, c ∈ F<sup>×</sup> and a good choice for d ∈ F<sup>×</sup> we have

$$\bigcap_{\nu \in \Delta_0 \langle \langle a, b, c \rangle \rangle} \mathcal{O}_{\nu} = \{ x \in F^{\times} \mid F \models \varphi(x, a, b, c, d) \}.$$

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000

Outlook 00

### Eliminating the parameter d

We have shown that there is an existential *L*-formula φ in 5 free variables such that for all a, b, c ∈ F<sup>×</sup> and a good choice for d ∈ F<sup>×</sup> we have

$$\bigcap_{\boldsymbol{\nu}\in\Delta_0\langle\langle \boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c}\rangle\rangle}\mathcal{O}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}=\{\boldsymbol{x}\in F^\times\mid F\models\varphi(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{a},\boldsymbol{b},\boldsymbol{c},\boldsymbol{d})\}.$$

 We would like to get rid of the need to choose an appropriate *d* ∈ *F*<sup>×</sup> (this hinders quantification over the *a*, *b*, *c* when passing to universal formulae).

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution

Outlook 00

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

### Eliminating the parameter d

#### Proposition 3.4

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F. Then

$$igcap_{w\in\Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w = igcup_{d\in\mathcal{C}} K \cdot S_d(q)$$

where

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ rac{e}{(e-1)^2} \; \left| \; e \in \mathcal{F}^{ imes}, 0 \in S_e(q) 
ight\}.$$

**Proof:** 

*S<sub>d</sub>*-sets of Pfister forms

Parametrised and unparametrised solution

Outlook 00

### Eliminating the parameter d

**Proof of Theorem 1.4:** 



 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Towards universal definitions

With this existential formula for  $\bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , we can now give universal definitions of rings of *S*-integers by using information about 'ramification behaviour' of 3-fold Pfister forms over *F*.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Towards universal definitions

With this existential formula for  $\bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , we can now give universal definitions of rings of *S*-integers by using information about 'ramification behaviour' of 3-fold Pfister forms over *F*.

The 'ramification behaviour' we needed is contained in the exact sequence

$$H^{3}(F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} H^{3}(F_{w}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

### Towards universal definitions

With this existential formula for  $\bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , we can now give universal definitions of rings of *S*-integers by using information about 'ramification behaviour' of 3-fold Pfister forms over *F*.

The 'ramification behaviour' we needed is contained in the exact sequence

$$H^{3}(F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} H^{3}(F_{w}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

• Reciprocity Law: the ramification sets are precisely the subsets of  $\mathcal{V}_0$  containing an even number of elements

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



### Towards universal definitions

With this existential formula for  $\bigcap_{w \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_w$ , we can now give universal definitions of rings of *S*-integers by using information about 'ramification behaviour' of 3-fold Pfister forms over *F*.

The 'ramification behaviour' we needed is contained in the exact sequence

$$H^{3}(F) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} H^{3}(F_{w}) \cong \bigoplus_{w \in \mathcal{V}_{0}} \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

- Reciprocity Law: the ramification sets are precisely the subsets of  $V_0$  containing an even number of elements
- H<sup>3</sup>(F) (in this case) consists only of symbols (↔ Pfister forms)

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

## Outlook: algebraic function fields over $\mathbb{Q}$

Let *F* be an algebraic function field over a global field *K*. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valuations which are trivial on *K*. Can we still universally define rings of *S*-integers?

• Work with 3-fold Pfister forms as before.

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

## Outlook: algebraic function fields over $\mathbb{Q}$

Let *F* be an algebraic function field over a global field *K*. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valuations which are trivial on *K*. Can we still universally define rings of *S*-integers?

- Work with 3-fold Pfister forms as before.
- There is an existential formula (due to Dittmann and Daans) associating to  $(a, b, c) \in (F^{\times})^3$  the subring  $\bigcap_{v \in \Delta(a.b.c)} \mathcal{O}_v$

 $S_d$ -sets of Pfister forms 000000

Parametrised and unparametrised solution 000000



## Outlook: algebraic function fields over $\mathbb{Q}$

Let *F* be an algebraic function field over a global field *K*. Let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the set of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -valuations which are trivial on *K*. Can we still universally define rings of *S*-integers?

- Work with 3-fold Pfister forms as before.
- There is an existential formula (due to Dittmann and Daans) associating to  $(a, b, c) \in (F^{\times})^3$  the subring  $\bigcap_{v \in \Delta(a.b.c)} \mathcal{O}_v$
- More subtle ramification behaviour. The complex

$$0 \to H^3(K) \to H^3(F) \to \bigoplus_{\nu \in \mathcal{V}_0} H^3(F_{\nu}) \to H^2(K) \to 0$$

has finite cohomology groups. Elements of  $H^3(F)$  might not all be symbols.  $H^2(K)$  is much more complicated than  $\mathbb{Z}/2$ .