

Defining valuation and holomorphy rings in function fields using quadratic forms

Nicolas Daans

(joint work with Philip Dittmann)

University of Antwerp

September 16, 2020

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ always denote the first-order language of rings $\langle +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$. All rings are unital and commutative.

Question 1.1 (Hilbert's 10th Problem, 1900)

Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ decidable?

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ always denote the first-order language of rings $\langle +, -, \cdot, 0, 1 \rangle$. All rings are unital and commutative.

Question 1.1 (Hilbert's 10th Problem, 1900)

Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ decidable?

Theorem 1.2 (Davis, Putnam, J. Robinson, Matiyasevich, 1970)

$\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ is undecidable.

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

- If \mathbb{Z} is $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -definable in R , then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

- If \mathbb{Z} is $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -definable in R , then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.
- More generally, if there is an “interpretation” of $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$, then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

- If \mathbb{Z} is $\exists\mathcal{L}_{ring}$ -definable in R , then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.
- More generally, if there is an “interpretation” of $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$, then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.

Question 1.3

Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ decidable?

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

- If \mathbb{Z} is $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -definable in R , then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.
- More generally, if there is an “interpretation” of $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$, then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.

Question 1.3

Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ decidable?

Question 1.4

Consider $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$. Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ decidable?

Existential decidability and Hilbert's 10th problem

How to generalise to other rings R ?

- If \mathbb{Z} is $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -definable in R , then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.
- More generally, if there is an “interpretation” of $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Z})$ in $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$, then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(R)$ is undecidable.

Question 1.3

Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ decidable?

Question 1.4

Consider $\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$. Is $\text{Th}_{\exists}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ decidable? Is $\text{Th}(\mathbb{C}(t))$ decidable?

\exists -definability in \mathbb{Q}

For a field K with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and $a, b \in K^\times$, define the *2-fold Pfister form* $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle_K$ as the quadratic form

$$W^2 - aX^2 - bY^2 + abZ^2.$$

For a quadratic form q over K , define the *ramification set* of q as follows:

$$\Delta q = \{v \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valuation on } K \mid q_{K_v} \text{ is anisotropic}\}.$$

\exists -definability in \mathbb{Q}

For a field K with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$ and $a, b \in K^\times$, define the *2-fold Pfister form* $\langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle_K$ as the quadratic form

$$W^2 - aX^2 - bY^2 + abZ^2.$$

For a quadratic form q over K , define the *ramification set* of q as follows:

$$\Delta q = \{v \text{ } \mathbb{Z}\text{-valuation on } K \mid q_{K_v} \text{ is anisotropic}\}.$$

Theorem 1.5 (Poonen, 2009)

There is an $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\psi(x, a, b)$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ with $a > 0$

$$\psi(\mathbb{Q}, a, b) = \bigcap_{v \in \Delta \langle\langle a, b \rangle\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}} \mathcal{O}_v.$$

\exists -definability in \mathbb{Q}

Corollary 1.6 (Poonen)

There is an $\forall\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Th}_{\forall\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ is undecidable.

\exists -definability in \mathbb{Q}

Corollary 1.6 (Poonen)

There is an $\forall\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists\forall\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ is undecidable.

Theorem 1.7 (Koenigsmann, 2010)

There is an $\forall\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Th}_{\forall\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ is undecidable.

\exists -definability in \mathbb{Q}

Corollary 1.6 (Poonen)

There is an $\forall\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists\forall\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ is undecidable.

Theorem 1.7 (Koenigsmann, 2010)

There is an $\forall\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\text{Th}_{\forall\exists}(\mathbb{Q})$ is undecidable.

Theorem 1.8 (Koenigsmann, Park, Eisentraeger-Morrison, D., 2010-2018-)

Let K be a global field, R a finitely generated subring of K with $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. There is an $\forall\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(K)$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(K) = R$.

\exists -defining valuations in (rational) function fields

Theorem 1.9 (Denef, Pheidas, Videla, 1978-1994)

Let K be a field with prime subfield K_0 . Suppose that there is an $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -formula $\psi(x)$ such that for all $x \in K(t)$ one has

- 1 $(x \in K_0(t) \wedge v_t(x) > 0) \Rightarrow K(t) \models \psi(x),$
- 2 $K(t) \models \psi(x) \Rightarrow v_t(x) > 0.$

Then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable.

In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable if the valuation ring at t is existentially definable in $K(t)$.

\exists -defining valuations in (rational) function fields

Theorem 1.9 (Denef, Pheidas, Videla, 1978-1994)

Let K be a field with prime subfield K_0 . Suppose that there is an $\exists \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -formula $\psi(x)$ such that for all $x \in K(t)$ one has

- ① $(x \in K_0(t) \wedge v_t(x) > 0) \Rightarrow K(t) \models \psi(x)$,
- ② $K(t) \models \psi(x) \Rightarrow v_t(x) > 0$.

Then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable.

In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable if the valuation ring at t is existentially definable in $K(t)$.

This has been used to show existential undecidability of:

- $K(t)$ where K is formally real (Denef, 1978),

\exists -defining valuations in (rational) function fields

Theorem 1.9 (Denef, Pheidas, Videla, 1978-1994)

Let K be a field with prime subfield K_0 . Suppose that there is an $\exists \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -formula $\psi(x)$ such that for all $x \in K(t)$ one has

- ① $(x \in K_0(t) \wedge v_t(x) > 0) \Rightarrow K(t) \models \psi(x)$,
- ② $K(t) \models \psi(x) \Rightarrow v_t(x) > 0$.

Then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable.

In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable if the valuation ring at t is existentially definable in $K(t)$.

This has been used to show existential undecidability of:

- $K(t)$ where K is formally real (Denef, 1978),
- $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ (Pheidas, Videla, 1994),

\exists -defining valuations in (rational) function fields

Theorem 1.9 (Denef, Pheidas, Videla, 1978-1994)

Let K be a field with prime subfield K_0 . Suppose that there is an $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -formula $\psi(x)$ such that for all $x \in K(t)$ one has

- ① $(x \in K_0(t) \wedge v_t(x) > 0) \Rightarrow K(t) \models \psi(x)$,
- ② $K(t) \models \psi(x) \Rightarrow v_t(x) > 0$.

Then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable.

In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable if the valuation ring at t is existentially definable in $K(t)$.

This has been used to show existential undecidability of:

- $K(t)$ where K is formally real (Denef, 1978),
- $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ (Pheidas, Videla, 1994),
- $K(t)$ where K is a subfield of \mathbb{Q}_p , p odd (Kim-Roush, 1995),

\exists -defining valuations in (rational) function fields

Theorem 1.9 (Denef, Pheidas, Videla, 1978-1994)

Let K be a field with prime subfield K_0 . Suppose that there is an $\exists \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -formula $\psi(x)$ such that for all $x \in K(t)$ one has

- ① $(x \in K_0(t) \wedge v_t(x) > 0) \Rightarrow K(t) \models \psi(x)$,
- ② $K(t) \models \psi(x) \Rightarrow v_t(x) > 0$.

Then $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable.

In particular, $\text{Th}_{\exists}(K(t))$ is undecidable if the valuation ring at t is existentially definable in $K(t)$.

This has been used to show existential undecidability of:

- $K(t)$ where K is formally real (Denef, 1978),
- $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ (Pheidas, Videla, 1994),
- $K(t)$ where K is a subfield of \mathbb{Q}_p , p odd (Kim-Roush, 1995),
- $\mathbb{C}(t_1, t_2)$ (Kim-Roush, 1992).

\exists -definability in function fields over global/local fields

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. For $a, b, c \in K^\times$, define the 3-fold Pfister form $\langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle_K$ as the quadratic form

$$S^2 - aT^2 - bU^2 - cV^2 + abW^2 + acX^2 + bcY^2 - abcZ^2.$$

\exists -definability in function fields over global/local fields

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$. For $a, b, c \in K^\times$, define the 3-fold Pfister form $\langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle_K$ as the quadratic form

$$S^2 - aT^2 - bU^2 - cV^2 + abW^2 + acX^2 + bcY^2 - abcZ^2.$$

For a field K with $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, F/K a function field in one variable over K , q a quadratic form defined over F , define

$$\Delta_0 q = \{v \in \Delta q \mid v(K^\times) = 0\}.$$

\exists -definability in function fields over global/local fields

Theorem 1.10 (Dittmann, D., 2019-)

Let K be a local or global field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, F a function field in one variable over K . There is an $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}$ -formula $\psi(x, a, b, c)$ such that for all $a, b, c \in F^\times$

$$\psi(F, a, b, c) = \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0(\langle a, b, c \rangle_F)} \mathcal{O}_v.$$

\exists -definability in function fields over global/local fields

Corollary 1.11

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}_p(t)$ for some prime number p . The valuation ring

$$\mathcal{O}_{v_t} = \{f \in F \mid v_t(f) \geq 0\}$$

has an $\exists\mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(\{t\})$ -definition in F .

Proof:

\exists -definability in function fields over global/local fields

Theorem 1.12 (Dittmann, D., 2020-)

Let K be a local or global field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, F/K a function field in one variable. For a finitely generated, integrally closed K -subalgebra R of F with $\text{Frac}(R) = F$, there is an $\forall \mathcal{L}_{\text{ring}}(F)$ -formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $\varphi(F) = R$.

Outline

Plan for today:

- 3 Proof of Theorem 1.10 for function fields in one variable over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Outline

Plan for today:

- 1 Quadratic forms, local-global principles and existential definability
- 2
- 3 Proof of Theorem 1.10 for function fields in one variable over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Outline

Plan for today:

- 1 Quadratic forms, local-global principles and existential definability
- 2 Interactions between valuations and quadratic forms in function fields
- 3 Proof of Theorem 1.10 for function fields in one variable over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, q a quadratic form over K . Define the (*quadratic*) *splitting set* of q as

$$S(q) = \{x \in K \mid q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, q a quadratic form over K . Define the (*quadratic*) *splitting set* of q as

$$S(q) = \{x \in K \mid q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

Some immediate facts:

- $S(q)$ is existentially definable in K , uniformly in the parameters defining q ,

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, q a quadratic form over K . Define the (*quadratic*) *splitting set* of q as

$$S(q) = \{x \in K \mid q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

Some immediate facts:

- $S(q)$ is existentially definable in K , uniformly in the parameters defining q ,
- q is isotropic if and only if $1 \in S(q)$, if and only if $S(q) = K$,

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, q a quadratic form over K . Define the (*quadratic*) *splitting set* of q as

$$S(q) = \{x \in K \mid q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

Some immediate facts:

- $S(q)$ is existentially definable in K , uniformly in the parameters defining q ,
- q is isotropic if and only if $1 \in S(q)$, if and only if $S(q) = K$,
- for a field extension L/K , $S(q) \subseteq S(q_L)$,

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

Let K be a field, $\text{char}(K) \neq 2$, q a quadratic form over K . Define the (*quadratic*) *splitting set* of q as

$$S(q) = \{x \in K \mid q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ is isotropic}\}.$$

Some immediate facts:

- $S(q)$ is existentially definable in K , uniformly in the parameters defining q ,
- q is isotropic if and only if $1 \in S(q)$, if and only if $S(q) = K$,
- for a field extension L/K , $S(q) \subseteq S(q_L)$,
- for $a \in S(q)$ we have $aK^{\times 2} \subseteq S(q)$,

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

- (*local-global principle*) for a collection \mathcal{E} of field extensions of K such that

$$\forall x \in K : (q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ anisotropic} \Rightarrow \exists E \in \mathcal{E} : q_{E[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ anisotropic})$$

one has

$$S(q) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} S(q_E) \cap K.$$

Quadratic splitting sets of quadratic forms

- (*local-global principle*) for a collection \mathcal{E} of field extensions of K such that

$$\forall x \in K : (q_{K[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ anisotropic} \Rightarrow \exists E \in \mathcal{E} : q_{E[\sqrt{x}]} \text{ anisotropic})$$

one has

$$S(q) = \bigcap_{E \in \mathcal{E}} S(q_E) \cap K.$$

E.g. If K is a global field, the Hasse-Minkowski Theorem states that all quadratic forms over K satisfy the required local-global principle if \mathcal{E} is the set of completions of \mathbb{Z} -valuations and real closures.

Kato's local-global principle

From now on, $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime p , v_p the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p , F/K a regular function field in one variable. For a quadratic form q defined over F , define

$$\Delta_p q = \{v \in \Delta q \mid v|_K \sim v_p\}.$$

Kato's local-global principle

From now on, $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime p , v_p the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p , F/K a regular function field in one variable. For a quadratic form q defined over F , define

$$\Delta_p q = \{v \in \Delta q \mid v|_K \sim v_p\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Kato, 1986)

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form defined over F . If $\Delta_p q = \emptyset$, then q is isotropic over F .

Kato's local-global principle

From now on, $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ for some prime p , v_p the p -adic valuation on \mathbb{Q}_p , F/K a regular function field in one variable. For a quadratic form q defined over F , define

$$\Delta_p q = \{v \in \Delta q \mid v|_K \sim v_p\}.$$

Theorem 2.1 (Kato, 1986)

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form defined over F . If $\Delta_p q = \emptyset$, then q is isotropic over F .

In particular, we obtain for a 3-fold Pfister form q defined over F

$$S(q) = \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_p q} S(q_{F_v}).$$

Kato's local-global principle

Proposition 2.2

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form over F , $x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_{0q}} \mathcal{O}_v$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_{pq}} \mathfrak{m}_v$.

Kato's local-global principle

Proposition 2.2

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form over F , $x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_v$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_p q} \mathfrak{m}_v$.

For each $v \in \Delta_p q$, one has $p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$ for n sufficiently high.
However, $\Delta_p q$ is potentially infinite.

Kato's local-global principle

Proposition 2.2

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form over F , $x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_{0q}} \mathcal{O}_v$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_p q} \mathfrak{m}_v$.

For each $v \in \Delta_p q$, one has $p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$ for n sufficiently high. However, $\Delta_p q$ is potentially infinite.

Proof sketch:

- Using basic results on interactions between valuations and quadratic forms, find a sentence ϕ in the first-order language of valued fields such that for any valuation v on F ,
 $(F, v) \models \phi$ implies $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$, and such that
 $(F, v) \models \phi$ whenever $v \in \Delta_p q$.

Kato's local-global principle

Proposition 2.2

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form over F , $x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_{0q}} \mathcal{O}_v$. Then there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_p q} \mathfrak{m}_v$.

For each $v \in \Delta_p q$, one has $p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$ for n sufficiently high. However, $\Delta_p q$ is potentially infinite.

Proof sketch:

- Using basic results on interactions between valuations and quadratic forms, find a sentence ϕ in the first-order language of valued fields such that for any valuation v on F , $(F, v) \models \phi$ implies $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$, and such that $(F, v) \models \phi$ whenever $v \in \Delta_p q$.
- By the Compactness Theorem, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $p^n x \in \mathfrak{m}_v$ for all $v \in \Delta_p q$.

Parametrised solution

Proposition 3.1

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form defined over F . Let $d \in S(q) \setminus \{0\}$. For $x \in F$ one has

$$(\forall v \in \Delta_0 q : v(x^2) \geq v(d)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists a \in K^\times : d + (ax)^2 \in S(q)).$$

In particular, if additionally $v(d) = 0$ for all $v \in \Delta_0 q$, then

$$x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_v \Leftrightarrow (\exists a \in K^\times : d + (ax)^2 \in S(q)).$$

Parametrised solution

Proposition 3.1

Let q be a 3-fold Pfister form defined over F . Let $d \in S(q) \setminus \{0\}$. For $x \in F$ one has

$$(\forall v \in \Delta_0 q : v(x^2) \geq v(d)) \Leftrightarrow (\exists a \in K^\times : d + (ax)^2 \in S(q)).$$

In particular, if additionally $v(d) = 0$ for all $v \in \Delta_0 q$, then

$$x \in \bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_v \Leftrightarrow (\exists a \in K^\times : d + (ax)^2 \in S(q)).$$

As K is existentially definable in F , the property

$$\exists a \in K^\times : d + (ax)^2 \in S(q)$$

is equivalent in F to an existential formula $\psi(x)$.

Parametrised solution

Proof:

Eliminating the parameter d

Proposition 3.2

Let q be a quadratic form over F of dimension at least 3. There exists a $d \in S(q)$ such that $v(d) = 0$ for all $v \in \Delta_0 q$.

Eliminating the parameter d

Proposition 3.2

Let q be a quadratic form over F of dimension at least 3. There exists a $d \in S(q)$ such that $v(d) = 0$ for all $v \in \Delta_0 q$.

- We have shown that there is an existential \mathcal{L} -formula φ in 5 free variables such that for all $a, b, c \in F^\times$ and a good choice for $d \in F^\times$ we have

$$\bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 \langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in F^\times \mid F \models \varphi(x, a, b, c, d)\}.$$

Eliminating the parameter d

Proposition 3.2

Let q be a quadratic form over F of dimension at least 3. There exists a $d \in S(q)$ such that $v(d) = 0$ for all $v \in \Delta_0 q$.

- We have shown that there is an existential \mathcal{L} -formula φ in 5 free variables such that for all $a, b, c \in F^\times$ and a good choice for $d \in F^\times$ we have

$$\bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 \langle\langle a, b, c \rangle\rangle} \mathcal{O}_v = \{x \in F^\times \mid F \models \varphi(x, a, b, c, d)\}.$$

- We would like to get rid of the need to choose an appropriate $d \in F^\times$ (this hinders universal quantification over the a, b, c).

Eliminating the parameter d

Proposition 3.3

Let q be a three-fold Pfister form defined over F . Then

$$\bigcap_{v \in \Delta_0 q} \mathcal{O}_v = \left\{ x \in F \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} \exists e \in S(q) \setminus \{0, 1\}, a \in K^\times : \\ \frac{e}{(e-1)^2} + (ax)^2 \in S(q) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof: